## THE KERALA VALUE ADDED TAX RULES, 2005

# FORM No.39

#### PETITION FOR REVIEW IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ERNAKULAM

(Appellate Side)

## Memorandum of Civil Revision Petition

#### (Under Section 62(7) & 63 (8) of the Act)

[See Rule 80 (3)]

Petition for review of the order of the High Court dated and passed in appeal/ Civil Revision Petition\* against Order No.

- 1. No. and date of the order of the High Court sought to be reviewed
- 2. Date of communication of the order
- 3. Questions of facts decided by the High Court (in the case of appeal under section 63)
- 4. Question of law decided by the High Court.
- 5. New and important facts which after the exercise of due deligence where not within the knowledge of the applicant or could be produced by him when the order was made (state facts briefly without narrative)
- 6. Questions of fact now raised.
- 7. Questions of law now raised

(Signed) Applicant(s)

(Signed) Authorised representative, if any

#### VERIFICATION

I / We ......(the applicants) do hereby declare that what is stated above is true to the best of my/ our knowledge and belief.

Verified today, the .....day of......20.....

(Signed) Applicant(s)

(Signed) Authorised representative, if any

# N.B.:

- 1. This application, should be accompanied by a certified copy of the order of the High Court sought to be reviewed.
- 2. The application should, if it is preferred by any person other than the Commissioner of Commercial Taxes or an Officer empowered by the Government be accompanied by a fee of Rs.300/- in the case of application under section 62 (7) & Rs.1,500/- in the case under section 63 (8) of the Act.
- 3. The application should be written in English and should set forth, concisely and under distinct heads facts which are not before the High Court at the time of passing the original order and the question of facts and the law raised. There should be no argument or narrative.
- \* Strike out whichever is not applicable.